

City of Sacramento Police Department

Comments submitted by the Off-Highway Motor Vehicle Recreation (OHMVR) Division to individual grant applicants should in no way be construed as a guarantee of successful results for the applicant within the competitive grants process or a commitment of funding. Additionally, the lack of comments by the OHMVR Division to any specific applicant does not ensure successful results for the applicant within the competitive grant process or a commitment of funding.

All final applications will be reviewed by the OHMVR Division. The OHMVR Division may, at its sole discretion, decrease the requested amount and eliminate activities pursuant with regulation Section 4970.07.2 (f)(1-5) and for law enforcement projects, regulation Section 4970.15.3(b)(1-5).

Failure by Applicant to respond to any OHMVR Division comment of their preliminary application shall be cause for eliminating that item from the Applicant's application.

If multiple proposed projects are requesting funding for the same deliverable, and multiple projects are successful, only one project will receive funding for the deliverable.

For proposed projects requesting grant funding for snow and/or winter activities. Applicants must ensure the activities and/or equipment requested are not and/or cannot be funded by the OHMVR Division Winter Program (commonly referred to as the Snow Grooming Program).

For proposed projects requesting grant funding for the maintenance of roads and/or trails, note that only roads and/or trails that allow "green sticker" off-highway vehicles are allowed to receive grant funding. Additionally, Applicants may not charge a use fee for vehicles and/or Equipment purchased with OHV Trust Funds, except for fuel and minor maintenance cost.

Applicants are reminded that no grant funds and/or match can be expended on project activities conducted in any land owned or managed by the California Department of Parks and Recreation.

Law Enforcement -

G17-03-28-L01

Needs Assessment

- Item #1, Paragraph 2 – Indicates the City of Sacramento Police Department (SPD) and Department of Youth, Parks, & Community Enrichment (YPCE) are both responsible for enforcing OHV laws city-wide including the preservation and protection of parklands, open spaces, and some undeveloped areas within the city
- Item #1, Paragraph 3 – States the SPD in coordination with the County of Sacramento, is the only law enforcement agency that enforces laws within the City's jurisdiction.

- Item #1, Paragraph 4 – Advises both the SPD and YPCE are seeking local assistance funding to continue to enhance response levels and patrol methods where the naturally diverse terrain restricts access by traditional means of transportation.
- The Applicants Law Enforcement Needs Assessment is confusing. Applicant must substantiate they are the lead OHV enforcement agency and clarify the YPCE's role and enforcement authority associated with this project.

Law Enforcement Certification

- Page 1, #3 – Applicant is reminded that grant funds and/or match cannot be expended on project activities conducted on any land owned or managed by the California Department of Parks and Recreation. Applicant must clarify OHV grant funds will not be used to patrol state park lands.

Project Cost Estimate

- Equipment Use Expenses – “1. Ranger Vehicle Prep Costs for UTV”, this expense appears to be for the YPCE and not the Applicant. Applicant must substantiate this expense is for the SPD, define vehicle prep and how it relates to OHV enforcement, provide a cost breakout of expenses, identify what percentage of time the vehicles will be used for OHV patrol, and substantiate they are not a general patrol expense required for everyday operation.
- Equipment Purchases – “1. Ranger Enclosed Trailer for UTV”, this purchase appears to be for the YPCE and not the Applicant. Applicant must provide the dimensions of the trailer, substantiate this expense is for the SPD, how it relates to OHV enforcement, identify what percentage of time the trailer will be used for OHV patrol, and substantiate it is not a general patrol expense required for everyday operation.
- Equipment Purchases – “1. Ranger four-seater UTV”, cost appears excessive. The expense seems to be for the YPCE and not the Applicant. Applicant must substantiate this expense is for the SPD, how it relates to OHV enforcement, identify what percentage of time the UTV will be used for OHV patrol, and substantiate it is not a general patrol expense required for everyday operation.
- Equipment Purchases – “1. Uplift for Ranger UTV”, this purchase appears to be for the YPCE and not the Applicant. Applicant must define the term Uplift, confirm this expense is for the SPD, how it relates to OHV enforcement, and substantiate it is not a general patrol expense required for everyday operation.